

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7 AT SEATTLE

8 DOMAIN NAME COMMISSION  
9 LIMITED,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 DOMAINTOOLS, LLC,

13 Defendant.  
14

NO. C18-0874RSL

ORDER STAYING PROCEEDINGS

15 This matter comes before the Court on the “Stipulation and [Proposed] Order for Stay of  
16 Proceedings Pending Appeal” submitted by the parties in the above-captioned matter. Dkt. # 55.  
17 Having reviewed the record and the stipulation of the parties, the Court finds that a stay will  
18 promote judicial efficiency and preserve the resources of the litigants without unduly prejudicing  
19 either party’s interests. 5A Charles Alan Wright & Arthur R. Miller, Federal Practice and  
20 Procedure § 1360 (3d ed. 2004). See also Landis v. N. Am. Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254 (1936) (“the  
21 power to stay proceedings is incidental to the power inherent in every court to control the  
22 disposition of the causes on its docket with economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel,  
23 and for litigants”).  
24

25 For all of the foregoing reasons, this matter is hereby STAYED in its entirety, pending  
26 resolution of defendant’s appeal and the Ninth Circuit’s entry of a mandate. The Clerk of Court  
27

1 is directed to enter a statistical termination in this case. Such termination is entered solely for the  
2 purpose of removing this case from the Court's active calendar. The parties shall, within  
3 fourteen days of the Ninth Circuit's issuance of a mandate regarding the appeal, submit a Joint  
4 Status Report setting forth the parties' recommendations for a new trial date and other  
5 scheduling deadlines.  
6

7  
8 Dated this 1st day of November, 2018.

9 

10 Robert S. Lasnik  
11 United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27